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## Motivation

Laplacian in tubes (tubular neighbourhoods of submanifolds) have been the subject of intensive study motivated by nanotechnology.


Straight tube

Spectral properties of the Dirichlet Laplacian in unbounded tubes in Euclidean space can be summarised as follows:

- If the principal curvatures of a complete non-compact submanifold $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{\text {dim+codim }}$ of dimension dim vanish at infinity and the transport of the tube cross section $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{\text {codim }}$ along $\Sigma$ is asymptotically parallel, then the essential spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplacian is $\left[E_{1}, \infty\right)$, with $E_{1}$ the lowest Dirichlet eigenvalue of $\omega$ [Krejcirik-Lu 14].


Principal curvatures


Parallel transport

- In the precedent situation, if the transport of $\omega$ along $\Sigma$ is parallel, then the Dirichlet Laplacian in the tube has discrete eigenvalues below $E_{1}$ whenever $\Sigma$ is parabolic (typically $\operatorname{dim} \leq 2$ ) and non-trivially curved [Duclos-Exner 95].


Curved tube

## Recall that:

### 11.5. Parabolic manifolds

Definition 11.13. A weighted manifold $(M, \mathbf{g}, \mu)$ is called parabolic if any positive superharmonic function on $M$ is constant.

Theorem 11.14. Let $(M, \mathbf{g}, \mu)$ be a complete connected weighted manifold. If, for some point $x_{0} \in M$,

$$
\int^{\infty} \frac{r d r}{V\left(x_{0}, r\right)}=\infty
$$

then $M$ is parabolic.
For example, ( $\boldsymbol{*}$ ) holds if $V\left(x_{0}, r\right) \leq C r^{2}$ for all $r$ large enough.

- Still in the precedent situation, if $\Sigma$ is totally geodesic and the transport of $\omega$ along $\Sigma$ is not parallel (in which case the tube is twisted), then the spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplacian is purely essential [Ekholm-Kovarik-Krejcirik 08].


Twisted square tubes

## Recall that:

## Definition

A submanifold $M$ of a Riemannian manifold $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ is totally geodesic if any geodesic on the submanifold $M$ with its induced Riemannian metric $g$ is also a geodesic on the Riemannian manifold $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$.

Less is known when the transport of the cross section $\omega$ along $\Sigma$ is not asymptotically parallel...

## Model

The tube that we consider is

$$
\Omega:=\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R} \times\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{3} \quad\left(a_{1}<a_{2}\right),
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{L}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}, \quad(s, t) \mapsto(s, t \cos \theta(s), t \sin \theta(s))
$$

with $\theta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a locally Lipschitz function satisfying

$$
\lim _{|s| \rightarrow \infty}\left|\theta^{\prime}(s)\right|=\infty
$$

$\Omega$ is a ruled strip given by segments $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ translated along a straight line in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with rotation angle $\theta$.


Case $a_{1} a_{2} \leq 0$ above, case $a_{1} a_{2}>0$ below

This corresponds to:

- $\operatorname{dim}=\operatorname{codim}=1$,
- $\Sigma=\mathbb{R}$ parabolic and totally geodesic,
- $\omega=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ symmetric if $a_{1} a_{2} \leq 0$ or non-symmetric if $a_{1} a_{2}>0$,
- transport of $\omega$ along $\Sigma$ far from being parallel (which occurs when $\theta^{\prime}=0$ ) because $\lim _{|s| \rightarrow \infty}\left|\theta^{\prime}(s)\right|=\infty$.

The Gauss curvature of $\Omega$

$$
K(s, t)=-\frac{\theta^{\prime}(s)^{2}}{\left(1+\theta^{\prime}(s)^{2} t^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

tends to zero as $|s| \rightarrow \infty$ for every $t \neq 0$.
The Gauss curvature of a surface is the product of its principal curvatures:


The Dirichlet Laplacian $-\Delta_{\mathrm{D}}^{\Omega}$ in $\Omega$ is defined as follows:
(i) $\Omega$ is identified with the Riemannian submanifold $\left(\mathbb{R} \times\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right), G\right)$ of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, with $G$ the metric induced by $\mathcal{L}$

$$
G:=\nabla \mathcal{L} \cdot(\nabla \mathcal{L})^{\top}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad f(s, t):=\sqrt{1+\theta^{\prime}(s)^{2} t^{2}}
$$

$G^{i j}$ are the coefficients of the inverse matrix $G^{-1}$.
(ii) $H:=-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega}$ is the self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space

$$
\mathcal{H}:=\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \times\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right), f(s, t) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t\right)
$$

given by the closure of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{h}(\Psi) & :=\sum_{i, j=1}^{2}\left(\partial_{i} \Psi, G^{i j} \partial_{j} \Psi\right)_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R} \times\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)} f^{-1}\left|\partial_{s} \Psi\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\mathbb{R} \times\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)} f\left|\partial_{t} \Psi\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\Psi \in \mathcal{D}(\dot{h}):=C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \times\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)\right)
$$

(iii) In a distributional sense, $H$ acts as

$$
H=-\sum_{i, j=1}^{2}|G|^{-1 / 2} \partial_{i}|G|^{1 / 2} G^{i j} \partial_{j}=-f^{-1} \partial_{s} f^{-1} \partial_{s}-f^{-1} \partial_{t} f \partial_{t}
$$

where

$$
|G|:=\operatorname{det}(G)=f^{2} .
$$

## Results

At infinity, the 2D strip $\Omega$ looks like a 3D tube of annular cross section

$$
A_{r_{1}, r_{2}}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\left|r_{1}<|x|<r_{2}\right\},\right.
$$

where

$$
r_{1}:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\min \left\{\left|a_{1}\right|,\left|a_{2}\right|\right\} & \text { if } a_{1} a_{2}>0 \\
0 & \text { if } a_{1} a_{2} \leq 0
\end{array} \text { and } r_{2}:=\max \left\{\left|a_{1}\right|,\left|a_{2}\right|\right\} .\right.
$$

Our first theorem shows that this intuition is correct:

## First Theorem

If $\lim _{|s| \rightarrow \infty}\left|\theta^{\prime}(s)\right|=\infty$, then

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(H)=\left[\lambda_{1}, \infty\right)
$$

with $\lambda_{1}$ the lowest eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian in $A_{r_{1}, r_{2}}$.

Our second theorem shows that there is spectrum below $\lambda_{1}$ if $\omega$ is twisted with respect to a point inside $\omega$ :

Second Theorem<br>If $a_{1} a_{2} \leq 0$, then $\inf \sigma(H)<\lambda_{1}$.

When $\lim _{|s| \rightarrow \infty}\left|\theta^{\prime}(s)\right|=\infty$, then $\lambda_{1}$ is the infimum of $\sigma_{\text {ess }}(H)$ by the first theorem. In this case, the second theorem implies the existence of discrete eigenvalues below the essential spectrum :

## Corollary

If $\lim _{|s| \rightarrow \infty}\left|\theta^{\prime}(s)\right|=\infty$ and $a_{1} a_{2} \leq 0$, then $\sigma_{\text {disc }}(H) \cap\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right) \neq \varnothing$.
Open question: Can we determine the nature of the essential spectrum of $H$ in the first theorem? Maybe with Mourre theory?

## Idea of the proof of the first theorem.

(i) We show that $\inf \sigma_{\text {ess }}(H) \geq \lambda_{1}$ using the fact that

$$
\inf \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(H) \geq \inf \sigma\left(H_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{N}}\right),
$$

where $H_{\text {ext }}^{N}$ is the restriction of $H$ to the subspace

$$
\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \backslash\left[-s_{0}, s_{0}\right] \times\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right), f(s, t) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t\right), \quad s_{0}>0
$$

with Neumann boundary condition at the segments $\left\{s= \pm s_{0}\right\}$.
(ii) We show the inclusion $\sigma_{\text {ess }}(H) \supset\left[\lambda_{1}, \infty\right)$ using a Weyl criterion for quadratic forms.

Recall that:
Lemma(Weyl criterion). A point $\lambda$ is in the essential spectrum of a self-adjoint operator $A$ if and only if there is a sequence $\psi_{n} \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$ such that $\left\|\psi_{n}\right\|=1, \psi_{n}$ converges weakly to 0 , and $\left\|(A-\lambda) \psi_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$.

## Idea of the proof of the second theorem.

We construct a test function $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}(H)$ of the form $\Psi(s, t)=\varphi(s) \psi(t)$ such that

$$
\langle\Psi, H \Psi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}<\lambda_{1}\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} .
$$

Since

$$
\inf \sigma(H)=\inf _{\Psi \in \mathcal{D}(H),\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{H}}=1}\langle\Psi, H \Psi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

the claim follows.

## Thank you
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